## **PHIL 5: Science and Human Understanding**

Spring 2020 Shamik Dasgupta shamikd@berkeley.edu Office Hours: Moses Hall 310, M/W 9:30-10:30

#### Classes

• 3 lectures each week: M/W/F, 11-12, LeConte 2

• 1 section each week.

#### Assessment

Levels system: 50%Final paper: 35%

• Class participation: 15%

You will receive a letter grade for each component. Your final grade is calculated by converting each letter grade into a number, taking the average of those numbers (weighted by the percentages above), and converting the resulting number back into a letter grade, using the following schemes:

Letter-to-number conversion	Number-to-letter conversion (boundaries are rounded upwards)
A = 95	> 93.5 = A
A = 92	90 - 93.5 = A
B + = 88	86.5 - 90 = B +
B = 85	83.5 - 86.5 = B
B - = 82	80 - 83.5 = B
C + = 78	76.5 - 80 = C +
C = 75	73.5 - 76.5 = C
C - = 72	70 - 73.5 = C
Etc	Etc
F = 60	< 60 = F

#### **Materials**

Students will need access to argument mapping software by Rationale at

https://www.rationaleonline.com. Create an account with your Berkeley email address and purchase an "Education Basic" or "Education Extra" subscription. PHIL 5 students receive a discounted rate of \$19 for Basic and \$25 for Extra. You will receive an email from me with the code for this discount.

## **Academic Integrity**

Plagiarism is not tolerated and will be taken extremely seriously. "Turnitin" software will be used to check all assignments for possible plagiarism. That said, I strongly encourage you to discuss the material in this class with other students. It is fine to get feedback from other students on drafts of papers or argument maps. But your finished work must then be your own. This means that having talked about your draft with friends, you should sit down and revise your work yourself. For further guidance, please see the UC Berkeley statement on academic integrity: <a href="http://sa.berkeley.edu/conduct/integrity">http://sa.berkeley.edu/conduct/integrity</a>.

#### Classroom climate

Discussion is an essential part of productive philosophical inquiry. Discussion can take many forms: you can propose your own idea, add support to someone else's idea, clarify it, distinguish it from related ideas, and so on. Discussion can also include criticism. Indeed, criticism can sharpen our ideas, deepen understanding, and reveal novel insights, so it is encouraged and expected. Still, *all discussion must be conducted with collegiality and civility*. This includes discussion in class, in section, and online. This is not always easy. There may be times when someone expresses an idea that strikes you as silly, immoral,

or offensive. If you wish to challenge the idea, be sure to target the idea itself rather than the person who expressed it. It is never appropriate to demean or denigrate fellow students or instructors. In addition, all students are expected to comply with the Student Code of Conduct: <a href="https://sa.berkeley.edu/code-of-conduct">https://sa.berkeley.edu/code-of-conduct</a>

## **Disabled Students' Program**

If you require disability accommodations, please contact the campus DSP office at <a href="https://dsp.berkeley.edu">https://dsp.berkeley.edu</a> and ask them to send me a letter of accommodation. Then, please come and talk with me as early as possible in the semester about what accommodations you may need for this class, even if you are not certain you will need them, so that we can make arrangements in advance. Accommodations requested at the last minute are not always possible to arrange.

### **Graduate Student Instructors**

Graduate Student Instructors (GSIs) assist in various aspects of teaching here at Berkeley. Your GSI runs your weekly section and is available to talk during their office hours each week. Please note that your GSI is *not* expected to be available to talk outside their office-hour times, respond to involved philosophical questions by email (they will respond to administrative questions within 2 business days), or read and comment on drafts of your work prior to submission.

### **Policy on Sexual Violence and Harassment**

Sexual violence and sexual harassment have no place in a learning environment. If you or someone you know experiences sexual violence or harassment, there are options, rights, and resources, including assistance with academics, reporting, and medical care. Visit <u>survivorsupport.berkeley.edu</u> or call the 24/7 Care Line at <u>510-643-2005</u>.

#### **Course Overview**

Scientific progress over the past 400 years has transformed our understanding of the world around us and our place within it. But what exactly does scientific progress consist in? A widespread conception is that science delivers *objective*, *value-free knowledge about a wholly material world*. While popular within the scientific community, this conception has been challenged from at least two quarters. First, the idea that science has shown that the world is *wholly material* is rejected by certain theists who think there is evidence from within science itself that the universe was designed and created by a supernatural being. Second, the idea that science delivers *objective and value-free knowledge* is rejected by certain relativists who insist that science is infused with personal and cultural influences; that scientific theories are not a pure reflection of the world as it is in itself, but instead reflect something of our own biases and values. Much of this course will investigate these two challenges, and in doing so we will cover core themes from 20th century philosophy of science. (Disclosure: I don't agree with theists or relativists, but I do think their challenges are more serious than is often recognized!) Finally, we will use tools from the philosophy of science to examine a number of contemporary issues concerning one of the most transformative sciences of our time: artificial intelligence. For further details, please see the class-by-class schedule below

#### Readings

Readings marked \*\* are optional; all others are required. All readings will be available in PDF format through the bCourses site. You are expected to complete all readings assigned to each class by the time that class begins. If you find the readings difficult, that's fine—in fact, that is expected! But please try to get through the reading before class. Try to formulate what you don't understand as a succinct question. We will discuss the readings in class and there will be opportunities to ask questions.

# **Topic 1: Is there a scientific explanation of our existence?**

Weds 22 Jan

Introduction to argument mapping

Class 1

• Dasgupta, "A Brief Guide to Argument Mapping"

Fri 24 Jan

Why is there something rather than nothing?

Class 2

• Albert, "On the Origin of Everything"

• \*\*Andersen, interview with Lawrence Krauss

Mon 27 Jan

Biological design I

Class 3

• Sober, "Creationism", pp. 27-42\*\*Paley, selection from *Natural Theology* 

Weds 29 Jan

Biological design II

Class 4

• Sober, "Creationism", pp. 42-57

• \*\*Dawkins, *The God Delusion* chapter 4: "Why There Almost Certainly is No God", pp. 129-134.

Fri 31 Jan

Philosophy Lab: Biological design

Class 5

Mon 3 Feb

Fine-tuning I

Class 6

• Collins, "God, Design, and Fine-Tuning"

• Sober, "The Design Argument", pp. 126-127

Weds 5 Feb

Fine-tuning II

Class 7

• Sober, "The Design Argument", pp. 133-141

• \*\*Dawkins, *The God Delusion* chapter 4: "Why There Almost Certainly is No God", pp. 141-151.

Fri 7 Feb

Philosophy Lab: Fine-tuning

Class 8

## **Topic 2: Epistemology and metaphysics of science**

Mon 10 Feb Hume's problem of induction I Class 9 Salmon, "An Encounter with David Hume", pp. 245-257 • Feldman, "Skepticism", pp. 130-134 Weds 12 Feb Hume's problem of induction II Class 10 • Salmon, "An Encounter with David Hume", pp. 257-263 • Feldman, "Skepticism", pp. 135-139 • Harman, "Inference to the Best Explanation", pp. 88-91 Fri 14 Feb Philosophy Lab: Hume's problem of induction Class 11 Mon 17 Feb No class (academic holiday) Weds 19 Feb Epistemic relativism I Class 12 • Boghossian, Fear of Knowledge Chapter 5: "Epistemic Relativism Defended" • Feldman, "Skepticism", pp. 139-141 • \*\*Strawson, "The 'Justification' of Induction", pp. 256-263 Fri 21 Feb Epistemic relativism II: The new riddle of induction Class 13 • Goodman, "The New Riddle of Induction", pp. 72-83 Mon 24 Feb Philosophy Lab: Epistemic relativism Class 14 Weds 26 Feb **Natural kinds** • Franklin-Hall, "Natural Kinds as Categorical Bottlenecks" Class 15 Fri 28 Feb Scientific realism I Class 16 • Van Fraassen, *The Scientific Image*, chapter 2: "Arguments Concerning Scientific Realism", pp. 6-19 Mon 2 March Scientific realism II Class 17 • Van Fraassen, The Scientific Image, chapter 2: "Arguments Concerning Scientific Realism", pp. 19-25 Weds 4 March Philosophy Lab: Metaphysics of science

Class 18

Fri 6 March No class

Mon 9 March Epistemic catastrophe I: Natural selection

• Plantinga, Warrant and Proper Function, Chapter 12: "Is Naturalism

Irrational?"

Weds 11 March Epistemic catastrophe II: Thermodynamics

Class 20 • Carroll, "Why Boltzmann Brains Are Bad", pp. 4-11

Fri 13 March Philosophy Lab: Epistemic catastrophe Class 21

# **Topic 3: Science, Values, and Society**

Mon 16 March No class

Weds 18 March No class

Fri 20 March The value-free ideal I

Class 22 • Kuhn, "Objectivity, Value-Judgment, and Theory Choice", pp. 356-364

• Lacy, Is Science Value Free? Chapter 1: "Introduction", pp. 1-12

Mon 30 March The value-free ideal II

Class 23 • Longino, "Gender, Politics, and Theoretical Virtues"

• \*\*Okruhlik, "Gender and the Biological Sciences", pp. 21-31

Weds 1 April Science and democracy

Class 24 • Kitcher, *Science in a Democratic Society* Chapter 5: "Well-Ordered Science", pp. 105-125

Fri 3 April Philosophy Lab: Science, values, and society Class 25

## **Topic 4: Philosophy of Artificial Intelligence**

Mon 6 April Mind-uploading and consciousness Class 26 • Schneider, "Future Minds: Transhumanism, Cognitive Enhancement, and the Nature of Persons", pp. 1-4 • Chalmers, "The Singularity", pp. 33-40 Weds 8 April The simulation argument • Bostrom, "Are You Living in a Computer Simulation?" Class 27 • \*\*Pryor, "What's Wrong with Living in the Matrix?" Fri 10 April Philosophy lab: Consciousness and the simulation argument Class 28 Mon 13 April Mind-uploading and personal identity I Class 29 • Chalmers, "The Singularity", pp. 40-46 Weds 15 April Mind-uploading and personal identity II • Dennett, "Where Am I?" Class 30 Fri 17 April Philosophy lab: Mind-uploading and personal identity Class 31 Mon 20 April Ethics of artificial intelligence I: Human-friendly AI Class 32 • Chalmers, "The Singularity" pp. 22-33 • Bostrom and Yudkowski, "The Ethics of Artificial Intelligence" pp 1-6 and 14-18 Weds 22 April Ethics of artificial intelligence II: Could machines have moral status? Class 33 • Schwitzgebel and Garza, "A Defense of the Rights of Artificial Intelligences" pp. 98-103 and pp. 107-111 \*\*Bostrom and Yudkowsky, "The Ethics of Artificial Intelligence" pp. Fri 24 April Ethics of artificial intelligence III: Transparency and fairness Class 34 • O'Neil, Weapons of Math Destruction Chapter 3: "Going to College Mon 27 April Philosophy lab: Ethics of artificial intelligence Class 35 No class Wed 29 April